UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,712	08/07/2003	Hiraku Murayama	1011350-000318	1927
21839 7590 08/06/2010 BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC			EXAMINER	
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	FOREMAN, JONATHAN M		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3736	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com offserv@bipc.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/635,712	MURAYAMA ET AL.
Examiner initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit
	JONATHAN ML FOREMAN	3736
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>JONATHAN ML FOREMAN</u> .	(3)	
(2) Wendi Weinstein.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 July 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 112 2 <sup>nd</sup> rejection, 35 USC 103(a) over Orr in view of Kawada et	al.	
Claims discussed: 47		
Prior art documents discussed:  Orr and Kawada et al.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE The Examiner proposed an Examiner's Amendment amending of incorporating one of allowable claims 49 or 50 into claim 47 in of Applicant's representative declined the proposed Examiner's Am	elaim 47 to overcome the 112 2 <sup>nd</sup> p rder to place the application in con	aragraph rejection and
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. Th of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/J. M. F./ Examiner, Art Unit 3736	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)